

Nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations on prime \ast -rings

Liang Kong, Chao Li*

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Shangluo University, Shangluo 726000 China

*Corresponding author, e-mail: lc6191@126.com

Received 4 Sep 2021, Accepted 10 Dec 2021
Available online 28 Feb 2022

ABSTRACT: Let \mathcal{R} be a 2-torsion free unital prime \ast -ring containing a nontrivial symmetric idempotent. We prove that if a map $\delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfies $\delta([[A, B]_{\ast}, C]) = [[\delta(A), B]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, \delta(B)]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, B]_{\ast}, \delta(C)]$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{R}$, then δ is an additive \ast -derivation.

KEYWORDS: mixed Lie triple derivation, \ast -derivation, prime \ast -ring

MSC2020: 16W25 46L10

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, denote by $A \circ B = AB + BA$ and $[A, B] = AB - BA$ the Jordan product and Lie product of A and B , respectively. In some sense, the Jordan product and Lie product are used to characterize the algebraic structure. There are many papers in the literature related to the Jordan product and Lie product, see for example [1–7]. A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (without the linearity assumption) is called a nonlinear Lie triple derivation if

$$\delta([[A, B], C]) = [[\delta(A), B], C] + [[A, \delta(B)], C] + [[A, B], \delta(C)]$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. Ji, Liu and Zhao [8] obtained the structure of nonlinear Lie triple derivations on triangular algebras. Chen and Xiao [9] characterized nonlinear Lie triple derivations on parabolic subalgebras of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras.

Let \mathcal{A} be a \ast -algebra. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, denote by $[A, B]_{\ast} = AB - BA^{\ast}$ the skew Lie product of A and B . In the last decade, the study related to the skew Lie product has attracted several authors' attention, see for example [10–13]. A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (without the linearity assumption) is called a nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation if

$$\delta([[A, B]_{\ast}, C]_{\ast}) = [[\delta(A), B]_{\ast}, C]_{\ast} + [[A, \delta(B)]_{\ast}, C]_{\ast} + [[A, B]_{\ast}, \delta(C)]_{\ast}$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called an additive \ast -derivation if it is an additive derivation and satisfies $\delta(A^{\ast}) = \delta(A)^{\ast}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Li, Zhao and Chen [14] proved that every nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation on factor von Neumann algebras is an additive \ast -derivation. Taghavi, Nouri and Darvish [15] proved that every nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation on prime \ast -algebras is additive. A map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (without the linearity assumption) is called a nonlinear

mixed Lie triple derivation if

$$\delta([[A, B]_{\ast}, C]) = [[\delta(A), B]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, \delta(B)]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, B]_{\ast}, \delta(C)]$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. Liang and Zhang [16] gave concrete examples showing that nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations are different from both nonlinear Lie triple derivations and nonlinear skew Lie triple derivations in general. They proved that every nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivation on factor von Neumann algebras is an additive \ast -derivation. Zhou, Yang and Zhang [17] generalized the above result to the case of prime \ast -algebras.

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring. \mathcal{R} is called a \ast -ring if there is a map $\ast : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfying $(AB)^{\ast} = B^{\ast}A^{\ast}$, $(A + B)^{\ast} = A^{\ast} + B^{\ast}$ and $(A^{\ast})^{\ast} = A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. \mathcal{R} is called prime when, for $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, $A\mathcal{R}B = \{0\}$ implies $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. \mathcal{R} is called 2-torsion free when, for $A \in \mathcal{R}$, $2A = 0$ implies $A = 0$. An element $A \in \mathcal{R}$ is called symmetric if $A^{\ast} = A$. Note that the imaginary number unit i played an important role in [16, 17]. However, \ast -rings do not contain the imaginary number unit i . Motivated by the above mentioned works, we will study nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations on prime \ast -rings.

MAIN RESULT

The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let \mathcal{R} be a 2-torsion free unital prime \ast -ring containing a nontrivial symmetric idempotent. If a map $\delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfies*

$$\delta([[A, B]_{\ast}, C]) = [[\delta(A), B]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, \delta(B)]_{\ast}, C] + [[A, B]_{\ast}, \delta(C)]$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{R}$, then δ is an additive \ast -derivation.

Let $P \in \mathcal{R}$ be a nontrivial symmetric idempotent. Write $P_1 = P$, $P_2 = I - P_1$, $\mathcal{R}_{ij} = P_i\mathcal{R}P_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2$). Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{11} + \mathcal{R}_{12} + \mathcal{R}_{21} + \mathcal{R}_{22}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{R}$, $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$, $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$).

Lemma 1

- (a) $\delta(P_i)^* = \delta(P_i)$, $i = 1, 2$;
- (b) $P_i\delta(P_i)P_j = -P_i\delta(P_j)P_j$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$.

Proof: (a): Let $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$. It is clear that $\delta(0) = 0$. Since $[[P_j, P_i]_*, P_j] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta([[P_j, P_i]_*, P_j]) \\ &= [[\delta(P_j), P_i]_*, P_j] + [[P_j, \delta(P_i)]_*, P_j] + [[P_j, P_i]_*, \delta(P_j)] \\ &= -P_i\delta(P_j)^*P_j - P_j\delta(P_j)P_i + 2P_j\delta(P_i)P_j \\ &\quad - \delta(P_i)P_j - P_j\delta(P_i). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Multiplying (1) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, we have

$$P_i\delta(P_j)^*P_j = -P_i\delta(P_i)P_j, \tag{2}$$

which yields that

$$P_j\delta(P_j)P_i = -P_j\delta(P_i)^*P_i. \tag{3}$$

Multiplying (1) by P_j from the left and by P_i from the right, we have

$$P_j\delta(P_j)P_i = -P_j\delta(P_i)P_i. \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4), we get

$$P_j\delta(P_i)^*P_i = P_j\delta(P_i)P_i. \tag{5}$$

Since $[[P_i, P_i]_*, P_i] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta([[P_i, P_i]_*, P_i]) \\ &= [[\delta(P_i), P_i]_*, P_i] + [[P_i, \delta(P_i)]_*, P_i] + [[P_i, P_i]_*, \delta(P_i)] \\ &= -P_i\delta(P_i)^*P_i + P_i\delta(P_i)^*P_i + P_i\delta(P_i)P_i - P_i\delta(P_i). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Multiplying (6) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, we have

$$P_i\delta(P_i)^*P_j = P_i\delta(P_i)P_j. \tag{7}$$

For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$, it follows from $[[X_{ij}, P_i]_*, P_i] = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta([[X_{ij}, P_i]_*, P_i]) \\ &= [[\delta(X_{ij}), P_i]_*, P_i] + [[X_{ij}, \delta(P_i)]_*, P_i] + [[X_{ij}, P_i]_*, \delta(P_i)] \\ &= \delta(X_{ij})P_i - P_i\delta(X_{ij})^*P_i - P_i\delta(X_{ij})P_i + P_i\delta(X_{ij})^* \\ &\quad + X_{ij}\delta(P_i)P_i - \delta(P_i)X_{ij}^* - X_{ij}\delta(P_i) + P_i\delta(P_i)X_{ij}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Multiplying (8) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, we have

$$P_i\delta(X_{ij})^*P_j = X_{ij}\delta(P_i)P_j. \tag{9}$$

Multiplying (8) by P_j from the left and by P_i from the right, we have

$$P_j\delta(X_{ij})P_i = P_j\delta(P_i)X_{ij}^*. \tag{10}$$

It follows from (10) that

$$P_i\delta(X_{ij})^*P_j = X_{ij}\delta(P_i)^*P_j. \tag{11}$$

Comparing (9) and (11), we get

$$X_{ij}(P_j\delta(P_i)P_j - P_j\delta(P_i)^*P_j) = 0$$

for all $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$. By \mathcal{R} is prime, we have

$$P_j\delta(P_i)^*P_j = P_j\delta(P_i)P_j. \tag{12}$$

Since $[[P_i, P_i]_*, X_{ij}] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta([[P_i, P_i]_*, X_{ij}]) \\ &= [[\delta(P_i), P_i]_*, X_{ij}] + [[P_i, \delta(P_i)]_*, X_{ij}] + [[P_i, P_i]_*, \delta(X_{ij})] \\ &= \delta(P_i)X_{ij} - P_i\delta(P_i)^*X_{ij} - X_{ij}\delta(P_i)P_i + P_i\delta(P_i)X_{ij} \\ &\quad - \delta(P_i)X_{ij} + X_{ij}\delta(P_i)P_i. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Multiplying (13) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, we have

$$(P_i\delta(P_i)P_i - P_i\delta(P_i)^*P_i)X_{ij} = 0.$$

This gives that

$$P_i\delta(P_i)^*P_i = P_i\delta(P_i)P_i. \tag{14}$$

From (5), (7), (12) and (14), we get that (a) holds.

(b): It follows from (2) and (a) that (b) holds. \square

Lemma 2 For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$P_j\delta(X_{ij})P_i = 0.$$

Proof: It follows from $[[P_i, X_{ij}]_*, X_{ij}] = 0$ and Lemma 1 (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta([[P_i, X_{ij}]_*, X_{ij}]) \\ &= [[\delta(P_i), X_{ij}]_*, X_{ij}] + [[P_i, \delta(X_{ij})]_*, X_{ij}] + [[P_i, X_{ij}]_*, \delta(X_{ij})] \\ &= -2X_{ij}\delta(P_i)X_{ij} + P_i\delta(X_{ij})X_{ij} - 2\delta(X_{ij})X_{ij} \\ &\quad + X_{ij}\delta(X_{ij})P_i + X_{ij}\delta(X_{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Multiplying (15) by P_i from both sides and by \mathcal{R} is 2-torsion free, we have $X_{ij}\delta(X_{ij})P_i = 0$ for all $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$. Hence $P_j\delta(X_{ij})P_i = 0$. \square

Lemma 3 For every $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}, B_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$\delta(A_{ij} + B_{ji}) = \delta(A_{ij}) + \delta(B_{ji}).$$

Proof: Let $T = \delta(A_{ij} + B_{ji}) - \delta(A_{ij}) - \delta(B_{ji})$. We show that $T = 0$. Since $[[A_{ij}, P_i]_*, P_j] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[[\delta(A_{ij} + B_{ji}), P_i]_*, P_j] + [[A_{ij} + B_{ji}, \delta(P_i)]_*, P_j] \\ &\quad + [[A_{ij} + B_{ji}, P_i]_*, \delta(P_j)] \\ &= \delta([[A_{ij} + B_{ji}, P_i]_*, P_j]) \\ &= \delta([[A_{ij}, P_i]_*, P_j]) + \delta([[B_{ji}, P_i]_*, P_j]) \\ &= [[\delta(A_{ij}) + \delta(B_{ji}), P_i]_*, P_j] + [[A_{ij} + B_{ji}, \delta(P_i)]_*, P_j] \\ &\quad + [[A_{ij} + B_{ji}, P_i]_*, \delta(P_j)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $[[T, P_i]_{**}, P_j] = 0$, and so $T_{ji} = 0$. Similarly, $T_{ij} = 0$.

For every $X_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$, we have $[[X_{ji}, A_{ij}]_{**}, P_i] = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\delta(X_{ji}), A_{ij} + B_{ji}]_{**}, P_i] + [[X_{ji}, \delta(A_{ij} + B_{ji})]_{**}, P_i] \\ & \quad + [[X_{ji}, A_{ij} + B_{ji}]_{**}, \delta(P_i)] \\ &= \delta([[X_{ji}, A_{ij} + B_{ji}]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= \delta([[X_{ji}, A_{ij}]_{**}, P_i]) + \delta([[X_{ji}, B_{ji}]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= [[\delta(X_{ji}), A_{ij} + B_{ji}]_{**}, P_i] + [[X_{ji}, \delta(A_{ij}) + \delta(B_{ji})]_{**}, P_i] \\ & \quad + [[X_{ji}, A_{ij} + B_{ji}]_{**}, \delta(P_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $[[X_{ji}, T]_{**}, P_i] = 0$, and so $T_{ii} = 0$. Similarly, $T_{jj} = 0$. \square

Lemma 4 $P_j \delta(P_i) P_j = P_i \delta(P_i) P_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$).

Proof: From (9) and Lemma 2, we have

$$X_{ij} \delta(P_i) P_j = P_i \delta(X_{ij})^* P_j = (P_j \delta(X_{ij}) P_i)^* = 0$$

for all $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$. Hence $P_j \delta(P_i) P_j = 0$.

For every $X_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$, it follows from $[[X_{ji}, P_i]_{**}, P_i] = X_{ji} + X_{ji}^*$, Lemma 1(a) and Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(X_{ji}) + \delta(X_{ji}^*) = \delta([[X_{ji}, P_i]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= [[\delta(X_{ji}), P_i]_{**}, P_i] + [[X_{ji}, \delta(P_i)]_{**}, P_i] + [[X_{ji}, P_i]_{**}, \delta(P_i)] \\ &= \delta(X_{ji}) P_i - P_i \delta(X_{ji})^* P_i - P_i \delta(X_{ji}) P_i + P_i \delta(X_{ji})^* \\ & \quad + X_{ji} \delta(P_i) P_i + P_i \delta(P_i) X_{ji}^* + (X_{ji} - X_{ji}^*) \delta(P_i) \\ & \quad - \delta(P_i) (X_{ji} - X_{ji}^*). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Multiplying (16) by P_j from the left and by P_i from the right, we have

$$P_j \delta(X_{ji}^*) P_i = 2X_{ji} \delta(P_i) P_i - P_j \delta(P_i) X_{ji}.$$

By Lemma 2, $P_j \delta(P_i) P_j = 0$ and \mathcal{R} is 2-torsion free, we get that $X_{ji} \delta(P_i) P_i = 0$. Hence $P_i \delta(P_i) P_i = 0$. \square

Let $T = P_1 \delta(P_1) P_2 - P_2 \delta(P_1) P_1$. It follows from Lemma 1(a) that $T^* = -T$. Let $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a map defined by

$$\Delta(X) = \delta(X) - (XT - TX).$$

Remark 1 By Lemmas 1–4, it is easy to verify that Δ is also a nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivation and satisfies:

(a) for every $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}, B_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$\Delta(A_{ij} + B_{ji}) = \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ji});$$

(b) for every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$P_j \Delta(X_{ij}) P_i = 0;$$

(c) $\Delta(P_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 5 $\Delta(\mathcal{R}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$.

Proof: For every $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{R}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$), it follows from $[[P_i, A_{ii}]_{**}, P_i] = 0$ and $\Delta(P_i) = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta([[P_i, A_{ii}]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= [[P_i, \Delta(A_{ii})]_{**}, P_i] \\ &= 2P_i \Delta(A_{ii}) P_i - \Delta(A_{ii}) P_i - P_i \Delta(A_{ii}). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Multiplying (17) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, we have

$$P_i \Delta(A_{ii}) P_j = 0. \tag{18}$$

Multiplying (17) by P_j from the left and by P_i from the right, we have

$$P_j \Delta(A_{ii}) P_i = 0. \tag{19}$$

For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), it follows from $[[X_{ij}, A_{ii}]_{**}, P_j] = 0$ and $\Delta(P_j) = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta([[X_{ij}, A_{ii}]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= [[\Delta(X_{ij}), A_{ii}]_{**}, P_j] + [[X_{ij}, \Delta(A_{ii})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= -A_{ii} \Delta(X_{ij})^* P_j - P_j \Delta(X_{ij}) A_{ii} + X_{ij} \Delta(A_{ii}) P_j \\ & \quad + P_j \Delta(A_{ii}) X_{ij}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Multiplying (20) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, and by Remark 1(b), we have

$$X_{ij} \Delta(A_{ii}) P_j = A_{ii} \Delta(X_{ij})^* P_j = A_{ii} (P_j \Delta(X_{ij}) P_i)^* = 0.$$

Hence

$$P_j \Delta(A_{ii}) P_j = 0. \tag{21}$$

From (18), (19) and (21), we have $\Delta(\mathcal{R}_{ii}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{ii}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

For every $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), since $A_{ij} = [[P_i, A_{ij}]_{**}, P_j]$ and $\Delta(P_i) = \Delta(P_j) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_{ij}) &= \Delta([[P_i, A_{ij}]_{**}, P_j]) = [[P_i, \Delta(A_{ij})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= P_i \Delta(A_{ij}) P_j + P_j \Delta(A_{ij}) P_i. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Multiplying (22) by P_i from both sides, we have

$$P_i \Delta(A_{ij}) P_i = 0. \tag{23}$$

Multiplying (22) by P_j from both sides, we have

$$P_j \Delta(A_{ij}) P_j = 0. \tag{24}$$

By Remark 1(b), we have

$$P_j \Delta(A_{ij}) P_i = 0. \tag{25}$$

It follows from (23), (24) and (25), we have $\Delta(\mathcal{R}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$. \square

Lemma 6 For every $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{R}_{ii}$, $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$, $C_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$, $D_{jj} \in \mathcal{R}_{jj}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$\Delta(A_{ii}+B_{ij}+C_{ji}+D_{jj}) = \Delta(A_{ii})+\Delta(B_{ij})+\Delta(C_{ji})+\Delta(D_{jj}).$$

Proof: Let

$$T = \Delta(A_{ii}+B_{ij}+C_{ji}+D_{jj}) - \Delta(A_{ii}) - \Delta(B_{ij}) - \Delta(C_{ji}) - \Delta(D_{jj}).$$

From $[[P_i, A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj}]_{**}, P_i] = -C_{ji} - B_{ij}$, Remark 1(a), $\Delta(P_i) = 0$ and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[P_i, \Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj})]_{**}, P_i] \\ &= \Delta([[P_i, A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj}]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= \Delta(-C_{ji} - B_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(-C_{ji}) + \Delta(-B_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta([[P_i, B_{ij}]_{**}, P_i]) + \Delta([[P_i, C_{ji}]_{**}, P_i]) \\ &= [[P_i, \Delta(B_{ij})]_{**}, P_i] + [[P_i, \Delta(C_{ji})]_{**}, P_i] \\ &= [[P_i, \Delta(A_{ii}) + \Delta(B_{ij}) + \Delta(C_{ji}) + \Delta(D_{jj})]_{**}, P_i]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $[[P_i, T]_{**}, P_i] = 0$. Then $T_{ij} = T_{ji} = 0$.

For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$, from $[[X_{ij}, A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j] = [[X_{ij}, D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j]$, $\Delta(P_j) = 0$ and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\Delta(X_{ij}), D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j] + [[X_{ij}, \Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= [[\Delta(X_{ij}), A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j] \\ &\quad + [[X_{ij}, \Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= \Delta([[X_{ij}, A_{ii} + B_{ij} + C_{ji} + D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= \Delta([[X_{ij}, D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= [[\Delta(X_{ij}), D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j] + [[X_{ij}, \Delta(D_{jj})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= [[\Delta(X_{ij}), D_{jj}]_{**}, P_j] \\ &\quad + [[X_{ij}, \Delta(A_{ii}) + \Delta(B_{ij}) + \Delta(C_{ji}) + \Delta(D_{jj})]_{**}, P_j] \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $[[X_{ij}, T]_{**}, P_j] = 0$. That is,

$$X_{ij}TP_j + P_jTX_{ij}^* = 0. \tag{26}$$

Multiplying (26) by P_j from the right, we have $X_{ij}TP_j = 0$, and so $T_{jj} = 0$. Similarly, $T_{ii} = 0$. \square

Lemma 7 For every $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

$$\Delta(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ij}).$$

Proof: From Lemmas 5 and 6, $\Delta(P_i) = \Delta(P_j) = 0$ and $[[P_i + A_{ij}, P_j + B_{ij}]_{**}, P_j] = A_{ij} + B_{ij} + A_{ij}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) + \Delta(A_{ij}^*) = \Delta([[P_i + A_{ij}, P_j + B_{ij}]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= [[\Delta(A_{ij}), P_j + B_{ij}]_{**}, P_j] + [[P_i + A_{ij}, \Delta(B_{ij})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ij}) + \Delta(A_{ij}^*). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Multiplying (27) by P_i from the left and by P_j from the right, and by Lemma 5, we have $\Delta(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ij})$. \square

Lemma 8 For every $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{R}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Delta(A_{ii}) + \Delta(B_{ii}).$$

Proof: For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), by Lemma 5, $\Delta(P_j) = 0$, and $[[A_{ii}, X_{ij}]_{**}, P_j] = A_{ii}X_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_{ii}X_{ij}) &= \Delta([[A_{ii}, X_{ij}]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= [[\Delta(A_{ii}), X_{ij}]_{**}, P_j] + [[A_{ii}, \Delta(X_{ij})]_{**}, P_j] \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii})X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

It follows from Lemma 7 and (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ii})X_{ij} + (A_{ii} + B_{ii})\Delta(X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta((A_{ii} + B_{ii})X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii}X_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ii}X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii})X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}) + \Delta(B_{ii})X_{ij} + B_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) - \Delta(A_{ii}) - \Delta(B_{ii}))X_{ij} = 0$. Hence $\Delta(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Delta(A_{ii}) + \Delta(B_{ii})$ by Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 9 For every $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{R}_{ii}$, $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$, $B_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$, $B_{jj} \in \mathcal{R}_{jj}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have

- (a) $\Delta(A_{ii}B_{ij}) = \Delta(A_{ii})B_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(B_{ij})$;
- (b) $\Delta(A_{ii}B_{ii}) = \Delta(A_{ii})B_{ii} + A_{ii}\Delta(B_{ii})$;
- (c) $\Delta(A_{ij}B_{ji}) = \Delta(A_{ij})B_{ji} + A_{ij}\Delta(B_{ji})$;
- (d) $\Delta(A_{ij}B_{jj}) = \Delta(A_{ij})B_{jj} + A_{ij}\Delta(B_{jj})$.

Proof: (a): It follows from (28) that (a) holds.

(b): For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), we have from (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(A_{ii}B_{ii})X_{ij} + A_{ii}B_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii}B_{ii}X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii})B_{ii}X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(B_{ii}X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii})B_{ii}X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(B_{ii})X_{ij} + A_{ii}B_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\Delta(A_{ii}B_{ii}) - \Delta(A_{ii})B_{ii} - A_{ii}\Delta(B_{ii}))X_{ij} = 0$, and so (b) holds.

(c): For every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), from (a), Lemma 5, and $[[A_{ij}, B_{ji}]_{**}, X_{ij}] = A_{ij}B_{ji}X_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(A_{ij}B_{ji})X_{ij} + A_{ij}B_{ji}\Delta(X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ij}B_{ji}X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta([[A_{ij}, B_{ji}]_{**}, X_{ij}]) \\ &= [[\Delta(A_{ij}), B_{ji}]_{**}, X_{ij}] + [[A_{ij}, \Delta(B_{ji})]_{**}, X_{ij}] \\ &\quad + [[A_{ij}, B_{ji}]_{**}, \Delta(X_{ij})] \\ &= \Delta(A_{ij})B_{ji}X_{ij} + A_{ij}\Delta(B_{ji})X_{ij} + A_{ij}B_{ji}\Delta(X_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\Delta(A_{ij}B_{ji}) - \Delta(A_{ij})B_{ji} - A_{ij}\Delta(B_{ji}))X_{ij} = 0$, and so (c) holds.

(d): For every $X_{ji} \in \mathcal{R}_{ji}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), it follows from (a), (c), and Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_{ij}B_{jj})X_{ji} + A_{ij}B_{jj}\Delta(X_{ji}) &= \Delta(A_{ij}B_{jj}X_{ji}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ij})B_{jj}X_{ji} + A_{ij}\Delta(B_{jj}X_{ji}) \\ &= \Delta(A_{ij})B_{jj}X_{ji} + A_{ij}\Delta(B_{jj})X_{ji} + A_{ij}B_{jj}\Delta(X_{ji}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\Delta(A_{ij}B_{jj}) - \Delta(A_{ij})B_{jj} - A_{ij}\Delta(B_{jj}))X_{ji} = 0$. Hence (d) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1

It is easy to verify that Δ is additive on \mathcal{R} by Lemmas 6–8 and that Δ is an additive derivation on \mathcal{R} by Lemmas 5 and 9. For every $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), from Lemma 5, $\Delta(P_j) = 0$, and $[[A_{ij}, P_j]_{**}, P_j] = A_{ij} + A_{ij}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(A_{ij}^*) &= \Delta([[A_{ij}, P_j]_{**}, P_j]) \\ &= [[\Delta(A_{ij}), P_j]_{**}, P_j] = \Delta(A_{ij}) + \Delta(A_{ij})^*, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\Delta(A_{ij}^*) = \Delta(A_{ij})^*. \tag{29}$$

For every $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{R}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$), from Lemmas 5 and 9(a), $\Delta(P_i) = 0$, and $[[A_{ii}, P_i]_{**}, X_{ij}] = A_{ii}X_{ij} - A_{ii}^*X_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_{ii})X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}) - \Delta(A_{ii}^*)X_{ij} - A_{ii}^*\Delta(X_{ij}) &= \Delta(A_{ii}X_{ij}) - \Delta(A_{ii}^*X_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta([[A_{ii}, P_i]_{**}, X_{ij}]) \\ &= [[\Delta(A_{ii}), P_i]_{**}, X_{ij}] + [[A_{ii}, P_i]_{**}, \Delta(X_{ij})] \\ &= \Delta(A_{ii})X_{ij} - \Delta(A_{ii})^*X_{ij} + A_{ii}\Delta(X_{ij}) - A_{ii}^*\Delta(X_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(\Delta(A_{ii}^*) - \Delta(A_{ii})^*)X_{ij} = 0$, and so

$$\Delta(A_{ii}^*) = \Delta(A_{ii})^*. \tag{30}$$

For every $A \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}$. It follows from (29), (30), and the additivity of Δ that

$$\Delta(A^*) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Delta(A_{ij}^*) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \Delta(A_{ij})^* = \Delta(A)^*.$$

Hence Δ is an additive $*$ -derivation. By the definition of Δ , δ is an additive $*$ -derivation.

From Theorem 1, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 1 ([16], Theorem 2.1) *Let \mathcal{A} be a factor von Neumann algebra on a complex Hilbert space H with $\dim(\mathcal{A}) > 1$. If a map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\delta([[A, B]_{**}, C]) = [[\delta(A), B]_{**}, C] + [[A, \delta(B)]_{**}, C] + [[A, B]_{**}, \delta(C)]$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, then δ is an additive $*$ -derivation.*

Corollary 2 ([17], Theorem 2.1) *Let \mathcal{M} be a unite prime $*$ -algebra containing a nontrivial projection. If a map $\delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $\delta([[A, B]_{**}, C]) = [[\delta(A), B]_{**}, C] + [[A, \delta(B)]_{**}, C] + [[A, B]_{**}, \delta(C)]$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}$, then δ is an additive $*$ -derivation.*

Acknowledgements: This work were supported by Scientific Research Project of Shangluo University (21SKY104) and Shangluo Science and Technology Plan Project (2020-Z-0043).

REFERENCES

1. Herstein IN (1957) Jordan derivations of prime rings. *Proc Amer Math Soc* **8**, 1104–1110.
2. Mathieu M, Villena AR (2003) The structure of Lie derivations on C^* -algebras. *J Funct Anal* **202**, 504–525.
3. Zhang J, Yu W (2006) Jordan derivations of triangular algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl* **419**, 251–255.
4. Lu F, Liu B (2010) Lie derivable maps on $B(X)$. *J Math Anal Appl* **372**, 369–376.
5. Li C, Fang X (2013) Lie triple and Jordan derivable mappings on nest algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **61**, 653–666.
6. Li Y, Wei F (2018) Jordan derivations and Lie derivations on path algebras. *Bull Iranian Math Soc* **44**, 79–92.
7. Wang Y (2019) Lie (Jordan) derivations of arbitrary triangular algebras. *Aequationes Math* **93**, 1221–1229.
8. Ji F, Liu R, Zhao Y (2012) Nonlinear Lie triple derivations of triangular algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **60**, 1155–1164.
9. Chen Z, Xiao Z (2012) Nonlinear Lie triple derivations on parabolic subalgebras of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **60**, 645–656.
10. Yu W, Zhang J (2012) Nonlinear $*$ -Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl* **437**, 1979–1991.
11. Bai Z, Du S (2012) Maps preserving products $XY - YX^*$ on von Neumann algebras. *J Math Anal Appl* **386**, 103–109.
12. Jing W (2016) Nonlinear $*$ -Lie derivations of standard operator algebras. *Quaest Math* **39**, 1037–1046.
13. Lin W (2018) Nonlinear $*$ -Lie-type derivations on von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math Hungar* **156**, 112–131.
14. Li C, Zhao F, Chen Q (2016) Nonlinear skew Lie triple derivations between factors. *Acta Math Sin (Engl Ser)* **32**, 821–830.
15. Taghavi A, Nouri M, Darvish V (2018) A note on nonlinear skew Lie triple derivations between prime $*$ -algebras. *Korean J Math* **26**, 459–465.
16. Liang Y, Zhang J (2019) Nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivable mappings on factor von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math Sinica (Chin Ser)* **62**, 13–24.
17. Zhou Y, Yang Z, Zhang J (2019) Nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations on prime $*$ -algebras. *Comm Algebra* **47**, 4791–4796.