A predator-infected prey model with harvesting of infected prey
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ABSTRACT: In this paper, we introduce a predator-prey with susceptible and infected prey model. The model includes the harvesting of infected prey. We assume that the predator avoids the infected prey. The susceptible prey becomes infected when they are in contact with infected prey and recover to be susceptible again. We find the equilibrium points and the conditions for their existence and stability. We also show the non-existence of periodic solutions. Numerical simulations explain the effect of the parameters on the behaviour of the three classes of populations. The simulations also give the region of the solution and guarantees that all solutions of the system lie within the region.
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INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is one way to explain many of the ideas and concepts in the sciences. In the field of ecology, a lot of theoretical studies were carried out since the beginning of last century to explain the interaction between the ecological communities. One particular study describes the interaction between one population (prey) and the other (predator) living in a closed environment with the two populations striving for survival. The basic model is known as the Lotka-Volterra model. This model was intensively studied and developed to describe more complicated interactions. A survey of important contributions can be found in Hethcote1. May and Leonard2 constructed a model to study the effect of infectious diseases in predator-prey systems. In their model, the basic epidemic model was combined with the Lotka-Volterra model. Subsequently, many researchers have studied the effects of a disease in the prey or the predator on the dynamics of the predator prey system3–6. In another area of study, researchers have studied the effect of harvesting the prey or the predator on the coexistence of both classes of population7–9. In this paper, we develop a model in which the prey follows the susceptible-infected-susceptible cycle. Within this cycle, the infected prey is harvested and the predators consume the susceptible prey only. There are many documented cases on this, an example is the relationship between aquatic snails and fishes as reported by Holmes et al10. In the following section we describe the model, to be followed by a study on the stability of the equilibrium points. Next, we discuss the nature of the solutions and finally the numerical simulations to support the model.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

In this section, we consider the following hypothesises.

(i) The susceptible prey population grows according to the logistic equation with growth rate \( r_1 > 0 \), and carrying capacity \( K > 0 \). The infected prey grows according the logistic equation with growth rate \( r_2 > 0 \), and with carrying capacity \( L > 0 \).

(ii) The prey follows the susceptible-infected-susceptible cycle.

(iii) The harvesting is only for the infected prey.

(iv) There is no other source of food for the predator other than the susceptible prey, if there is no susceptible prey the predator can die.

(v) The predator cannot be infected.

The model can be written as

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = r_1 S \left( 1 - \frac{S}{K} \right) - \rho SI + \beta I - \gamma SF,
\]

\[
\frac{dI}{dt} = r_2 I \left( 1 - \frac{I}{L} \right) + \rho SI - \beta I - qI,
\]

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \gamma SF - dF,
\]

with condition \( r_2 > \beta + q \). In system (1), \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are intrinsic growth rate coefficients of susceptible prey species and infected prey, respectively, \( K \) and \( L \) are their respective carrying capacities, \( \gamma \) is the depletion
rate coefficient of the prey species due to the predator, 
ρ is the rate of the contact between susceptible prey
and infected prey, β is the rate of transformation
from infected prey to susceptible prey, q is the rate
of harvesting of infected prey, γ1 is the growth rate
coefficient of predator due to its interaction with
the susceptible prey, where we assume γ > γ1 and d is the
natural death rate coefficient of the predator species,
also all parameters are positive. System (1) reduces to
the standard prey-predator model without I:
\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = r_1 S \left(1 - \frac{S}{K}\right) - \gamma SF,
\]
\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \gamma_1 SF - dF. \tag{2}
\]
In this case there are two equilibria, E(0,0) and
E(S,F), where S = d/γ1, and
\[
F = \frac{r}{\gamma_1} \left(1 - \frac{S}{K}\right).
\]

**EQUILIBRIUM AND STABILITY ANALYSIS**

In system (1), there are three equilibrium points. The
first two are: E0(0, 0, 0) and E(S, I, 0), where
\[
\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\beta + q - r_2 \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{I}}{L}\right)\right),
\]
\[
\tilde{I} = \frac{r_1 \tilde{S}}{\rho \tilde{S}} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{S}}{K}\right).
\]
The third is E∗ = (S∗, I∗, F∗), where S∗ = d/γ1,
\[
I^* = \frac{L}{r_2} \left(\gamma S^* - (\beta + q)\right),
\]
\[
F^* = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(r_1 - \frac{r_2 \gamma S^*}{K} - \rho I^* + \frac{\beta I^*}{S^*}\right),
\]
with condition (γ1β > ρd). The Jacobian matrix of
system (1) has entries
\[
A_{11} = r_1 \left(1 - \frac{2S}{K}\right) - \rho I + \gamma F,
A_{12} = -\rho S + \beta,
A_{13} = -\gamma S,
A_{21} = \rho I,
A_{22} = r_2 \left(1 - \frac{2I}{L}\right) + \rho S - \beta - q,
A_{23} = A_{31} = 0,
A_{33} = \gamma_1 F,
A_{33} = \gamma_1 S - d.
\]
The eigenvalues of equilibrium point E0 are r1 > 0,
r_2 - (\beta + q) > 0, and -d < 0. Thus they are always
unstable. The eigenvalues of E are γ1S - d and
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[r_1 \left(1 - \frac{2S}{K}\right) - \tilde{I} \left(\rho + \frac{r_2}{L}\right) \pm D^\frac{1}{2}\right],
\]
where
\[
D = \left[r_1 \left(1 - \frac{2\tilde{S}}{K}\right) - \tilde{I} \left(\rho + \frac{r_2}{L}\right)\right]^2 + 4\rho \tilde{I} \left[r_2 \left(r_1 \left(1 - \frac{2\tilde{S}}{K}\right) - \rho \tilde{I}\right) - \rho \tilde{S} + \beta\right].
\]
The characteristic equation in the case of E∗ is
\[
\lambda^3 + A\lambda^2 + B\lambda + C = 0,
\]
where
\[
A = (r_2 + \rho S^* - (\beta + q)) + \frac{r_1 S^*}{K} + \frac{\beta I^*}{S^*} > 0,
B = \left(r_1 \frac{S^*}{K} + \frac{\beta I^*}{S^*}\right) (r_2 + \rho S^* - (\beta + q)) + \gamma_1 S^* F^* + (\rho S^* - \beta) \rho I^*,
C = (r_2 + \rho S^* - (\beta + q))(\gamma_1 S^* F^*) > 0,
\]
and AB > C. From the Routh-Hurwitz criteria E∗ is
locally stable.

**Lemma 1** The equilibrium point E∗ is globally sta-
ble.

**Proof:** Let
\[
V = \left(S - S^* - S^* \ln \frac{S}{S^*}\right) + c_1 \left(I - I^* - I^* \ln \frac{I}{I^*}\right) + c_2 \left(F - F^* - F^* \ln \frac{F}{F^*}\right).
\]
If c_2 = γ/γ1 and c_1 = 1, we get
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{r_1}{K} (S - S^*)^2 - \frac{r_2}{L} (I - I^*)^2 - \beta \left[I^* \left(\frac{I^*}{S^*} - 1\right) (S - S^*) < 0,
\]
if I^* S > S^* I, then E∗ is globally stable under this
condition. □

**INVARIANT REGION**

In this section, we consider conditions for the co-
existence of the three classes of populations. There
are four cases due to the maximum size of population
of both classes K and L:
(i) $S = K, I = L, F > 0$.
(ii) $S = K, I < L, F > 0$.
(iii) $S < K, I = L, F > 0$.
(iv) $S < K, I < L, F > 0$.

In the first case, system (1) becomes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= -\rho KL + \beta L - \gamma KF, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \rho KL - \beta L - qL, \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= \gamma_1 KF - dF.
\end{align*}
$$

The interior region $R^*_1 = (K, L, F^*)$, where

$$F^* = \frac{L}{\gamma K} (\beta - \rho K),$$

with condition $\beta > \rho K$. In the second case, when $S = K, I < L$. The system (1) becomes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= -\rho KL + \beta I - \gamma KF, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= r_2 I \left(1 - \frac{I}{L}\right) + \rho K I - \beta I - qI, \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= \gamma_1 KF - dF.
\end{align*}
$$

The interior region $R^*_1 = (K, I^*, F^*)$, where

$$r_2 \left(1 - \frac{I}{L}\right) + \rho K - \beta - q = 0.$$

Thus

$$I^* = \frac{L}{r_2} (r_2 + \rho K - (\beta + q)),
$$

$$F^* = \frac{L}{r_2 \gamma K} (\beta - \rho K) (r_2 + \rho K - (\beta + q)),$$

with necessary condition $\beta > \rho K$. In the third case, when $S < K, I = L$. The system (1) becomes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= r_1 S \left(1 - \frac{S}{K}\right) - \rho SL + \beta L - \gamma SF, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \rho SL - \beta L - qL, \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= \gamma_1 SF - dF.
\end{align*}
$$

The interior region $R^*_1 = (S^*, L, F^*)$, where

$$S^* = \frac{\beta + q}{\rho} = \frac{d}{\gamma_1},
$$

$$F^* = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( r_1 \left(1 - \frac{S^*}{K}\right) - \frac{qL}{S^*} \right).$$

In the fourth case, when $S < K, I < L$. The system (1) becomes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= r_1 S \left(1 - \frac{S}{K}\right) - \rho SI + \beta I - \gamma SF, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= r_2 I \left(1 - \frac{I}{L}\right) + \rho SI - \beta I - qI, \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= \gamma_1 SF - dF.
\end{align*}
$$

The interior region $R^*_1 = (S^*, I^*, F^*)$, where

$$S^* = \frac{d}{\gamma_1},
$$

$$I^* = \frac{L}{r_2} (r_2 + \rho S - (\beta + q)),
$$

$$F^* = \frac{1}{\gamma S^*} \left( r_1 S^* \left(1 - \frac{S^*}{K}\right) - \rho S^* I^* + \beta I^* \right),$$

with condition $\beta \gamma_1 > \rho d$. The equilibrium $E^*$ of the system (1) is the interior. Thus this equilibrium must exist in the intersection of these areas. Therefore the invariant region of system (1) is the intersection of all these areas.

**PROPERTIES OF SOLUTION**

In this section, we discuss the solution of the system (1) when it is bounded, positive, and not periodic.

**Lemma 2** The solution of system (1) is bounded and positive.

**Proof:** First we define the function $w(t) = S(t) + I(t) + F(t)$. Then

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + \mu w(t) = \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{dI}{dt} + \frac{dF}{dt} + \mu S + \mu I + \mu F.$$

We assume $0 < \mu < d$. Since $\gamma > \gamma_1$, we get

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + \mu w(t) \leq \left( \frac{K(r_1 + \mu)}{2r_1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{L(r_2 + \mu)}{2r_2} \right)^2 = v.$$

Then

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + \mu w(t) \leq v,$$

$$0 < w(S, I, F) \leq \frac{v}{\mu} \left(1 - e^{-\mu t}ight) + e^{-\mu t} (S, I, F) |_{t=0}.$$

This means that the solutions are bounded and positive. \[\square\]

**Lemma 3** The system (1) has no periodic solution.
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Fig. 1 The low harvesting leads to an increase in the infected prey.

Proof: For no periodic orbit in this system, we use Dulac’s criterion and consider the \( S - I \) plane. Let

\[
H(S, I) = \frac{1}{SI},
\]

\[
h_1(S, I) = r_1 S \left(1 - \frac{S}{K}\right) - \rho SI + \beta I - \gamma SF,
\]

\[
h_2(S, I) = r_2 I \left(1 - \frac{I}{L}\right) + \rho SI - \beta I - q I.
\]

Then

\[
\Delta(SI) = \frac{\partial(h_1 H)}{\partial S} + \frac{\partial(h_2 H)}{\partial I}.
\]

Hence

\[
\Delta(SI) = -\frac{r_1}{SI} - \frac{\beta}{SI} - \frac{r_2}{SL}.
\]

It is clear that there is no change in sign, therefore this system cannot have any periodic solution in \( S - I \) plane. Also we can show in the \( S - F \) plane that there is no change in sign, so no periodic in \( S - F \) plane. Hence the system has no periodic solution.

**NUMERICAL SIMULATION**

In this section, we discuss the effect of effort of harvest on the disease. First, we fixed all parameters to ensure all populations survive. Then we find the effect of harvest on the disease.

When \( \rho = \beta \), we take these two parameters to be large. We noticed that the infected prey increases when there is a low harvest (Fig. 1), and decreases when there is a large harvest (Fig. 2). When \( \rho = \beta \), the two parameters are small (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). When \( \rho > \beta \), the infected prey increases (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6) but this increase also depends on the amount of harvest. Unlike the second case, i.e., \( \beta > \rho \), in this case the infected prey decreases if the harvest is large (Fig. 7) or the harvest is low (Fig. 8).

**CONCLUSIONS**

A predator-prey model, where the prey followed the susceptible-infected-susceptible cycle, was developed. In order to maintain a healthy population, the
infected prey was harvested. To maintain a balance between the prey and the predator, the harvesting rate has to be fine-tuned as a result of the rate of infection and recovery. Conditions for stability of the equilibrium points for the two populations were obtained. Obtained also were the region of the solutions, where the solutions are bounded. It is also observed that the increase in harvest affects the disease and thus prevents the occurrence of an epidemic.
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