On Generalized Rearick Logarithm

Vichian Laohakosol^a and Nittiya Pabhapote^{b*}

- ^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand. ^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce,
- Bangkok 10400, Thailand.
- * Corresponding author, E-mail: nittiya_pab@utcc.ac.th

Received 6 Feb 2004 Accepted 13 Jul 2004

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the generalized Rearick logarithm and give its series representation. Moreover, we associate this logarithmic operator with other additive functions.

INTRODUCTION

By an *arithmetic function*, we mean a complexvalued function whose domain is the set of positive integers, **N**. We define the addition and convolution of two arithmetic functions f and g, respectively, by

$$(f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n), (f * g)(n) = \sum_{ij=n} f(i)g(j).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4], [7]) that the set (A_c , +, *) of all arithmetic functions is a unique factorization domain with the arithmetic function

$$I(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

being its convolution identity.

For notational conveniences, let

- $A'_{c} = \{ f \in A_{c} \colon f(1) \in \mathbf{R} \}$
- P_c : = { $f \in A_c$: f(1) > 0}, the so-called positive elements of A_c
- $U_1 = \{f \in A_c : f(1) = 1\}$, the so-called normalized units of A_c .

To facilitate later discussion, we recall some facts about derivation over A_c , which is a function $d: A_c \rightarrow A_c$ satisfying

 $d(f \ast g) = df \ast g + f \ast dg, \ d(rf + sg) = rdf + sdg,$ for all $f, g \in A_c$, and for all $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that $a \in A_c$ is completely additive if a(mn) = a(m) + a(n) ($\forall m, n \in \mathbf{N}$).

The derivation d_a associated with this additive $a \in A_c$ is defined by

$$d_a f(n) = f(n)a(n) (n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

GENERALIZED REARICK LOGARITHM

Definition 2.1 Let $a \in A_c$ be a completely additive arithmetic function for which $a(n) \neq 0$ ($\forall n > 1$), and d_a its associated derivation. The logarithmic operator (associated with a) is the map Log: $P_c \rightarrow A'_c$, defined by $(\text{Log}f)(1) = \log f(1)$, where the right-hand side denotes the real logarithmic value, and

Logf(n) =
$$\frac{1}{a(n)} (d_a f * f^{-1})(n)$$

= $\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{d \mid n} f(d) f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) a(d) (n > 1).$

Remark. The logarithmic operator of Rearick ([5], [6]) uses the additive function log *n* in place of a(n).

Theorem 2.2 For all $f, g \in P_c$, we have Log(f * g) = Logf + Logg.

Proof. If n = 1, we see that

Log(f * g)(1) = log((f(1)g(1)) = logf(1) + logg(1))= Log f(1) + Logg(1).

For
$$n > 1$$
, we have

$$Log(f * g)(n) = \frac{1}{a(n)} (d_a (f * g) * (f * g)^{-1})(n)$$

= $\frac{1}{a(n)} [(f * d_a g + g * d_a f) * g^{-1} * f^{-1}](n)$
= $\frac{1}{a(n)} (g^{-1} * d_a g + f^{-1} * d_a f)(n)$
= $Logf(n) + Logg(n).$

Theorem 2.3 For each $h \in A'_c$, there is a unique $f \in P_c$ such that h = Log f.

Proof. We proceed inductively. Define $f(1) = \exp h(1)$. Assume that f(k) has been defined for all k < n, where n > 1. The value $f^{-1}(k)$ are recursively

determined by the relation $\sum_{m} f^{-1}(d) f(\frac{k}{d}) = 1$ if k =

1, and 0 elsewhere. This gives us a triangular system which can be solved for the unknowns $f^{-1}(k)$. With h(n) prescribed and f(k), $f^{-1}(k)$ determined for all k < n, we can define f(n) by solving for the term corresponding to d = n in the equation

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{d|n} f(d) f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) a(d)$$

The term containing $f^{-1}(n)$ is suppressed by the presence of the factor a(1) = 0, and all other terms are known. This inductive process allows us to construct a function f such that it satisfies the condition $h = \log f$, and at the same time it guarantees the uniqueness of this f, since the value of f(n) is uniquely determined at the n^{th} step.

Theorem 2.4Let $f \in P_c$. Then f is multiplicative if and only if Logf(n) = 0 whenever n is not a prime (positive) power.

Proof. Assume that f is multiplicative. Then f(1) = 1 and so Logf(1) = logf(1) = 0. Let N be a positive integer which is not a prime power. Then there are positive integers m, n, both of them greater than 1 and (m, n) = 1 such that N = mn. We will show that Logf(N) = 0. We have

$$Logf(N) = \frac{1}{a(N)} \sum_{d|mn} f(d) f^{-1}(\frac{mn}{d}) a(d)$$

= $\frac{1}{a(N)} \sum_{d_1|m} \sum_{d_2|n} f(d_1) f(d_2) f^{-1}(\frac{m}{d_1}) f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d_2}) (a(d_1) + a(d_2)),$

where we have decomposed each d uniquely into the product of a divisor d_1 of m and a divisor d_2 of n. Thus

$$Logf(N) = \frac{l(n)}{a(N)} \sum_{d_1 \mid m} f(d_1) f^{-1}(\frac{m}{d_1}) a(d_1) + \frac{I(m)}{a(N)} \sum_{d_2 \mid n} f(d_2) f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d_2}) a(d_2) = 0 \text{ (since } I(n) = I(m) = 0).$$

Conversely, suppose that Logf(n) = 0 whenever n is not a prime (positive) power. Then Logf(1) = 0, so f(1) = 1. For n > 1, we let $g \in P_c$ be defined

by
$$g(1) = 1$$
, $g(n) = \prod_{p|n} f(p^{\nu})$ where $p^{\nu|n}, p^{\nu+1} / n$.

Clearly, g is multiplicative. It remains to show that f = g. Observe that f(n) = g(n) and $f^{-1}(n) = g^{-1}(n)$ whenever n is a prime power. From definition, we have Logf(n) = Logg(n) if n is a prime power. Since g is multiplicative, the previous half of this theorem shows that Logg(m) = 0 if m is not a prime power. Hence, Logf(n) = Logg(n) for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and therefore f = g by Theorem 2.3, proving our Theorem.

SERIES REPRESENTATION

Let $F = \{u_j : j = 1, 2, ...\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers u_j such that $u_1 = 0$ and only finitely many u_j are non-zero. We call the sequence F a *factorization*. Two factorizations are considered equal

if and only if the sequences are identical. If $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{u_j} = n$, we call F a factorization of n, and also say that n is the index of F and we write $i(F) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{u_j} = n$. If n > 1, one particular factorization of n is the identity factorization, in which $u_n = 1$ and $u_j = 0$ if $j \neq n$. When n = 1, the only factorization is the zero factorization O, in which all $u_j = 0$. We define the height h_F of a factorization F by $h_F = \sum_{j}^{u_j} u_j$, and also define the partial ordering of factorizations as follows: $F' \leq F$ if $u_j' \leq u_j$ for all j. By F' < F we mean $F' \leq F$ and F' $\neq F$. The sum of any two factorizations is defined as the termwise sum of the sequences, i.e., F + F' $= \{u_j + u_j'\}$. If $F' \leq F$, we define the difference F - $F' = \{u_j - u_j'\}$. Clearly, i(F + F') = i(F)i(F') and i(F - F')

= $\overline{i(F')}$. If F is a factorization and $f \in A_c$, we define

$$f^{F} = \prod_{j} (f(j))^{\mathbf{u}_{j}}$$

If we adopt the convention that $0^0 = 1$, then the product may be considered to be extended for all $j \ge 1$, with only finitely many factors different from 1.

If
$$F = \{u_j\}$$
 is a factorization, set $F! = \prod_j^{(u_j!)}$. If $F' \le F$, we define $\binom{F}{F'} = \frac{F!}{F'!(F-F')!}$.

If r is any real number, set $\binom{r}{O} = 1$, and if F > O,

define $\binom{r}{F} = \frac{r(r-1)\dots(r-h+1)}{F!}$ where $h = h_F$ is the height of F.

Theorem 3.1 For all
$$f \in U_1$$
,
 $Logf(n) = \sum_{i(F)=n,h>0} {h \choose F} \frac{(-1)^{h+1} f^F}{h}$

where $h = h_F$ is the height of F.

Proof. If n = 1, the index set of the above sum is empty and the sum is understood to have the value zero, which is compatible with Logf(1) = log 1 = 0. For each n > 1, by definition we have

$$Logf(n)a(n) = d_a Logf(n) = (d_a f * f^{-1})(n) = (d_a f * \sum_{F} f^{F})(n)$$
(by Theorem 3 of [6])

$$= \sum_{i(F)=n} c(F) f^F \quad \text{(by Corollary 2a of [6])}$$

where
$$c(F) = \sum_{J \le F} {\binom{-1}{F-J}} a(i(J))$$
. Since $F > O \Leftrightarrow h = h_F > 0$

0, Lemma 3 of [6] yields $c(F) = (-1)^{h+1} {h \choose F} \frac{a(n)}{h}$, and the result follows.

Theorem 3.2 Let $f \in P_c$ and $\overline{f}(n) = \frac{f(n)}{f(1)} (n \in \mathbf{N})$.

Then Logf(1) = logf(1) and

$$Logf(n) = \sum_{i(F)=n,h>0} {h \choose F} \frac{(-1)^{h+1} \overline{f}^{F}}{h} (n > 1),$$

where $h = h_{F}$ is the height of F.

Proof. The value at n = 1 follows from the definition. Since $\overline{f}(1) = \frac{f(1)}{f(1)} = 1$, we have $\overline{f} \in U_1$, and hence Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\operatorname{Log}\overline{f}(n) = \sum_{i(F)=n,h>0} \binom{h}{F} \frac{(-1)^{h+1} \overline{f}^{F}}{h} \quad (n>1).$$

We now have

$$a(n) \operatorname{Log}_{f}(n) = d_{a} \operatorname{Log}_{f}(n) \qquad (n > 1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{f}(n) = \int_{a} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Log}_{i}(n)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \overline{f}(d) \overline{f}^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) a(d)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \frac{f(d)}{f(1)} f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) f(1) a(d)$$
(using $\overline{f}^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) = f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) f(1)$)
$$= \sum_{d|n} f(d) f^{-1}(\frac{n}{d}) a(d)$$

$$= a(n) \text{Log} f(n)$$

and the desired result follows from Theorem 3.1.

LOGARITHMIC OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH OTHER **ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS**

The logarithmic operator, defined in section 2, is associated with an additive function a(n) which is non-zero for all n > 1. This is modified upon Rearick's operator where $a(n) = \log n$.

For a general additive function v(n), a similar logarithmic operator can also be defined by dropping the normalized term v(n) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} L_{\nu} \colon P_{c} \xrightarrow{} A_{c}' \\ L_{\nu}f(1) = \log f(1) \\ L_{\nu}f(n) = (d_{\nu}f \ast f^{-1})(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)\nu(d)f(\frac{n}{d}) \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.1(i) For all $f, g \in P_c$, we have $L_v(f * g)$

 $= L_{v}f + L_{v}g$ (ii) Let $f \in P_{c}$. Then f is multiplicative if and only if Logf(n) = 0 whenever n is not a prime (positive) power.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4.

Theorem 4.2 Let
$$f \in P_c$$
 and $\overline{f}(n) = \frac{f(n)}{f(1)}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

.. .

Then for n > 1,

$$L_{\nu}f(n) = \nu(n) \sum_{i(F)=n} {h \choose F} \frac{(-1)^{h+1} \overline{f}^{F}}{h} \qquad (h = h_{F})$$

Proof. We use the same argument as that given in Theorem 3.2.

REFERENCES

- 1 Apostol TM (1976) Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York. Berberian SK (1962) Number-theoretic functions via
- convolution rings. Math Magazine 65, 75-92.
- 3. Cashwell ED and Everett CJ (1959) The ring of numbertheoretic functions. Pacific J Math 9, 975-85.
- 4. McCarthy PJ (1986) Introduction to Arithmetical Functions. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 5. Rearick D (1968) Operators on algebras of arithmetic functions. Duke Math J 35, 761-6.
- 6. Rearick D (1968) The trigonometry of numbers. Duke Math J 35, 767 - 76.

7. Sivaramakrishnan R (1989) Classical Theory of Arithmetic Functions. Marcel Dekker, New York.