
RESEARCH ARTICLES

DEVELOPMENT AND LIFE TABLE OF *GONIOZUS THAILANDENSIS* GORDH & WITETHOM (HYMENOPTERA: BETHYLIDAE), A GREGARIOUS ECTOPARASITOID OF A PHYCITINE FRUIT BORER (LEPIDOPTERA: PYRALIDAE)

BOONGEUA WITETHOM¹ AND GORDON GORDH²

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 60112, Thailand.

² Department of Entomology, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD, 4072, Australia.

(Received June 10, 1994)

ABSTRACT

The development of the recently described *Goniozus thailandensis* Gordh & Witethom (Hymenoptera: Bethylidae), a gregarious ectoparasitoid of phycitine sapodilla fruit borers, was studied at $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, 70-80 % RH, and under a 12:12 (L:D) photocycle. Females temporarily paralyzed the host before oviposition. Eggs were laid along the intersegmental folds of the host's cuticle, usually on the middle segment and the dorsal aspect of the host's body. The percentage of eggs hatching was 96.1%. On average, 78.3% of eggs developed to adults. The egg incubation period, the larval and pupal stages took 3.1, 4.1, and 13.5 days, respectively. Development time from egg to adult emergence did not differ between sexes requiring a mean of 20.7 days. Mated host-provided females lived (7.2 days) as long as mated host-deprived females (7.7 days). Mated host-provided females (7.7 days) lived significantly longer than mated males (5.7 days). The survivorship curve resembled most a type I hypothetical survivorship curve. *G. thailandensis* was arrhenotokous. The preoviposition period was 4.4 days. On average, each female parasitized 1.6 hosts and produced 7.6 females throughout her lifetime. The sex ratio of *G. thailandensis* was female biased and it was randomly determined. Female progeny production curve was bimodal. The generation time (T) and cohort generation time (T_c) were 25.5 and 25.7 days, respectively. The net reproductive rate (R_0) was 59.1 females per female per lifetime. The intrinsic rate of increase (r_m), the capacity for increase (r_c) and the finite rate of increase (λ) were 0.160, 0.159 and 1.174 females per female per day, respectively. An increasing population was predicted.

INTRODUCTION

Sapodilla fruit borer (SFB) is a major pest of sapodilla fruit¹. The undescribed phycitine moth, previously name *Nephoterix* sp. [= *Mussidia pectinicornella* Hampson = *Ectomyelois ceratoniae* (Zeller) *Myelois ceratoniae* Zeller], is congeneric with *Ceroprepes naga* Roesler & Koppers, 1979, from Sumatra, and with *Tetralopha piratis* Meyrick, 1887, from Australia (Horak personal communication). During the course of the studies on the biology of this pest, a bethylid attacking the SFB larvae was collected. This parasitic wasp was subsequently described as *Goniozus thailandensis* Gordh & Witethom².

Bethylidae are cosmopolitan family containing about 2,000 described species which almost exclusively parasitize larvae of Coleoptera and Lepidoptera^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9}. The bethylid genus *Goniozu* comprises about 150 nominal species¹⁰. All *Goniozus* species are primary gregarious ectoparasitoids. These parasitoids prefer cryptic Microlepidoptera larvae, but they are not host specific^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9}. Life history of several *Goniozus* species has been well documented^{3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16}.

According to the fossorial habit, *Goniozus* species are potential candidates for biological control of Lepidoptera larvae living in concealed situations^{3,4,5,7,8,9}. Their effectiveness as biological control agents of Lepidopterous pests has been reported for *G. aethiops* Evans attacking *Pectinophera gossypiella* (Saunders)⁵, *G. legneri* Gordh and *G. emigratus* (Rohwer) attacking *Amyelois transitella* (Walker)^{17,18}, *G. marasmi* Kurian attacking *Marasimia trapizalis* (Guenee)¹⁹ and *G. jacintae* Farrugia attacking *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker)²⁰.

In Thailand, studies on biology of Bethylidae have been limited only to *Goniozus* attacking the rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)²¹. Since *G. thailandensis* is a primary parasitoid of the phycitine SFB, its development and potential for the biological control of its host was investigated.

Voucher specimens were deposited in the institutions mentioned in Gordh and Witethom².

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, all observations were conducted at 26±1°C, 70-80% RH, and under a 12:12 (L:D) photocycle.

Sapodilla fruit borer culture

The method of rearing of SFB was slightly modified from Witethom and Silawatchananai¹. After mating, seven to 10 females were transferred to each of several (2-3) oviposition cages. The clear plastic cages (16.5 cm in diameter, 24 cm high) had eight organandy-covered ventilation holes (3.2 cm in diameter) in their sides and four (2.3 cm in diameter) in their lids. Four sheets of tissue paper were hung inside each container for female oviposition. Pieces of sterilized cotton pads moistened with 10% honey solution and with water were supplied as food and water. The egg-covered tissue papers were removed and kept in labelled plastic bags. New papers were replaced daily. Water and honey were changed every two days. Adults were discarded after seven days of oviposition. One day prior to hatching, oviposited papers (100-150 eggs) were cut and placed in each of

several (2-3) containers with seven to 10 unripe sapodilla fruit as larval food. The clear plastic boxes (11x11x6 cm) had one organdy-covered ventilation hole (7 cm in diameter) in their lids. Larvae were reared as described in Witethom and Silawatchananai¹. Approximately 200 larvae in each developmental stage were continually available using these culture techniques.

According to the results of preliminary observations on optimal SFB number and instar for parasitism and oviposition (Witethom, unpublished data), two fifth instar larvae were used for parasitoid oviposition during 24-hr exposure throughout this study.

To prepare larval hosts, six to 12 early fifth instars (two-week old) from the SFB culture were transferred individually to each lidless container (5 cm in diameter, 6 cm high) with an unripe sapodilla fruit. The larvae were then left overnight to allow larval tunnelling inside the fruit, thus simulating the natural infestation.

***G. thailandensis* culture**

G. thailandensis culture was started with adults emerging from SFB larvae collected from sapodilla orchards on Yo Island, Songkhla (6°17' N, 7°56' N, 101°30' E, 106°20' W)²². Adult parasitoids that emerged from field-collected hosts were frequently added to the culture to increase genetic heterogeneity.

Eight to 12 newly emerged parasitoids were kept together in each clear-vent plastic container (9.5 cm in diameter, 20 cm high) for 24 hr to facilitate mating.

Since female parasitoids may use chemicals either from larval host plant or from host feces as cues for host searching, a sapodilla twig soaked in a bottle of water, a piece of infested fruit and host feces were provided in each parasitoid container²³.

To maintain *G. thailandensis* culture, two fifth instar SFBs were exposed to each female parasitoid (three to 10 days old). Water and honey were not supplied during oviposition. After 24-hr exposure, the larvae were separated from female parasitoids and reared until parasitoid emergence, host pupation, or death.

Survival and development of immature stages

Twenty nine parasitized SFB larvae were prepared as described in parasitoid culture. The oviposited larvae were observed daily under the stereomicroscope to determine the stage and duration of parasitoid development. The parasitoid instars were not determined. Numbers of parasitoid larvae, pupae, and adults emerged from each parasitized host were recorded to determine their survival.

To determine number of eggs deposited, 16 parasitized larvae were killed, placed in FAA for 6 hr and stored in 70% ethanol. Number of eggs laid by *G. thailandensis* females during 24-hr exposure were recorded. These data were compared to data on number of parasitoid larvae to determine egg viability.

Adult longevity and fertility

After mating, the life history of 28 females (one to five females per replicate) was observed. Each female, deprived of honey but provided with hosts daily at 2:1 host: parasitoid ratio, was monitored for : (1) the preoviposition period, (2) number of hosts

attacked, (3) number of progeny produced per female and per parasitized host, and (4) sex ratio of each brood. Twenty six females were reared under the same condition but deprived of hosts. The longevity of host-deprived females were recorded and compared with those provided with hosts. The head width and total body length of both female groups were measured to determine the correlation between size and longevity. The longevity of 28 mated honey-deprived males, 1-5 males per replicate, was concurrently observed.

To determine whether *G. thailandensis* is arrhenotokous, 13 fifth instar SFB larvae were parasitized by virgin females (five to 10 days old). Number and sex of progeny emerged from parasitized hosts were recorded.

An overall estimate of sex ratio was obtained from the parasitoid that emerged during the immature development and survival as well as from the adult longevity and fertility studies. A Sex ratio of *G. thailandensis* was also determined by following the method of Green *et al.*²⁴. Broods were classified according to size, using only those with complete emergence. The number of single-male broods for each brood size was counted. If the number of males is binomially distributed, the probability of observing as many as k (or more) broods with exactly one male will be no larger than

$$\alpha = \sum_{x=k}^n \binom{n}{x} \hat{p}^x (1-\hat{p})^{n-x}$$

where $p = (1-1/N)^{N-1}$, N is brood size, n is the frequency of brood size occurrence, k is the number of broods of size N having exactly one male, and x is the number of males.

Construction and analysis of life table

Following the death of the 28 females provided with hosts, life table statistics were calculated using the computational methods of Andrewartha and Birch²⁵ and Birch²⁶. The pivotal age (x) was the mid point of age interval in days. Age-specific survival rate (l_x) for the immature stages was estimated from that noted in the immature survival study. Age-specific survival rate (l_x) for adult females was calculated as the number of females surviving over the initial number of females multiplied by the estimated survivorship at adult emergence. Age-specific fertility rate (m_x) was calculated as the number of progeny produced per day divided by the number of females alive on that day. The following statistics were calculated from the life table.

(1) Net reproductive rate (R_0) - the average number of female progeny produced per female during her lifetime, where

$$R_0 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} l_x m_x$$

(2) The intrinsic rate of natural increase (r_m) (= innate capacity for increase) - the maximal rate of increase of a population under specified environmental conditions with unlimited food and space, where

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-r_m x} l_x m_x = 1$$

(3) The capacity for increase (r_c) - the growth rate of populations with non-overlapping generations or the actual growth rate of a population founded by a single cohort females until a stable age distribution is obtained, where

$$r_c = l_n R_o / T_c$$

The capacity for increase is an approximation of the r_m and is typically less than r_m .

(4) Finite rate of increase (λ) - number of individuals added to a population per female per day, where

$$\lambda = e^{r_m}$$

(5) Generation time (T) - time required for a population with a stable age distribution to increase by R_o , where

$$T = l_n R_o / r_m$$

(6) Cohort generation time (T_c) - mean age of females in a cohort at the birth of female offspring, where

$$T_c = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x l_x m_x / R_o$$

Cohort generation time is an approximation of generation time.

Data analysis

The computer programs Stat View 512⁺27 was used to analyze data. For sex ratio determination, binomial function was generated by computer programs in Minitab Release 5.1.1²⁸.

RESULTS

The oviposition behavior of *G. thailandensis* was naturally not observed since it took place within the host burrow. *G. thailandensis* females temporarily paralyzed the hosts prior to oviposition. Females laid eggs along the intersegmental membranes of the host's body, especially on the middle segment and dorsal aspect of the host's body. If the parasitoid eggs were damaged, the host developed normally.

Survival and development of immature stages

The number of eggs laid per parasitized host averaged (\pm SE) 10.4 ± 0.5 ($n=16$ broods). The mean numbers of larvae, cocoons, and adults per parasitized host were 10.0 ± 0.6 , 9.2 ± 0.6 , and 8.2 ± 0.7 ($n=29$ broods), respectively. Thus the percentage of egg hatching was 96.1% with 78.3% of the eggs surviving to adult emergence. The developmental period of *G. thailandensis* is presented in Table 1. The incubation period averaged 3.1 ± 0.0 days. The larval stage lasted 4.1 ± 0.0 days. The pupal period took 13.5 ± 0.1 days. Total development time from egg deposition to adult emergence was not significantly different between sexes ($t=0.274$, $df=244$, $P>0.05$). Consequently male and female data were pooled for subsequent analyses.

TABLE 1 The developmental period of *G. thailandensis* Gordh & Witethom reared on fifth instar SFBs at $26\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, 70-80% RH, and under a 12:12 (L:D) photocyce (n=246)

Developmental Stage/Period	Range of Duration (days)	Mean duration \pm SE (days)
Egg Incubation period	3-4	3.1 \pm 0.0
Larva Larval period	3-5	4.1 \pm 0.0
Pupa Pupal period	10-19	13.5 \pm 0.1
Total developmental period	16-26	20.7 \pm 0.1

TABLE 2 Laboratory life table of *G. thailandensis*; reared on fifth instar SFBs at $26\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, 70-80% RH, and under a 12:12 (L:D) photocyce

	x (days)	l_x	m_x	$l_x m_x$
Immature stage	0.5	1.000	0.000	0.000
	20.5	0.882	0.000	0.000
	21.5	0.783	-	-
	22.5	0.783	1.200	0.940
	23.5	0.783	14.000	10.962
	24.5	0.755	14.667	11.074
	25.5	0.727	14.417	10.482
	26.5	0.643	18.000	11.577
	27.5	0.587	14.833	8.711
	28.5	0.447	4.667	2.088
	29.5	0.224	10.500	2.349
	30.5	0.140	6.333	0.886
	31.5	0.112	0.000	0.000
	32.5	0.028	0.000	0.000
	33.5	0.028	0.000	0.000

$R_0 = 59.067$

TABLE 3 Distribution of brood sizes for 36 broods of *G. thailandensis* (k is the number of broods of a given size having exactly one male and α is an upper bound on the probability of observing as many as one-male broods or more)

Brood size N	Frequency n	One-male broods k	α
1	1	0	-
2	2	0	1.000
3	2	1	0.691
4	3	1	0.807
5	1	0	-
6	1	1	-
7	2	2	0.157
8	2	0	1.000
9	7	3	0.558
10	5	2	0.641
11	2	1	0.622
12	2	1	0.621
13	3	1	0.765
15	1	1	-
16	1	0	-
17	1	0	-




Fig. 1 Daily proportion of *G. thailandensis* females surviving (l_x) and number of female progeny produced per female parent (m_x) at $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, 70-80% RH, and under a 12:12 (L:D) photocycle ($n=28$).

Adult longevity and fertility

Six specimens of mated host-provided females were lost before size measurement, so that 22 samples of this group were available for analysis. Longevity of mated females provided with hosts and those deprived of hosts was not significantly different ($t=-0.625$, $df=46$, $P>0.05$). Mated host-provided females lived 7.2 ± 0.6 days ($n=22$) and mated host-deprived females lived 7.7 ± 0.5 days ($n=26$). Female longevity was not correlated with either head width or total body length ($r_s = 0.061$, $P>0.10$, $r_s = 0.109$, $P>0.10$, $n=48$).

Longevity of mated males significantly differed from that of mated host-provided females ($t=2.861$, $df=54$, $P<0.05$). The mean longevity was 5.7 ± 0.6 days for mated males ($n=28$) and 7.7 ± 0.4 days for mated host-provided females ($n=28$).

The survivorship curve (l_x) derived from the life table (Table 2) resembled type I of the hypothetical survivorship curve²⁹. The mortality was low during the first five days of adult life and thereafter increased (Fig.1). *G. thailandensis* was arrhenotokous. Unmated females produced only male progeny averaging 8.5 ± 1.0 males per parasitized host ($n=13$ broods).

The preoviposition period of *G. thailandensis* females averaged 4.4 ± 0.4 days. The mean number of SFB larvae parasitized throughout female lifetime was 1.6 ± 0.1 hosts ($n=28$), with $13.3\pm 1.2\%$ of hosts being parasitized. Total progeny (males and females) per female and per parasitized host were 9.1 ± 1.3 and 5.9 ± 0.9 ($n=28$), respectively. The mean female progeny produced per female and per parasitized host were 7.6 ± 1.1 and 4.9 ± 0.8 ($n=28$), respectively. The mean percentage of female progeny was 82.1 ± 3.0 ($n=23$).

The frequency of one-male broods for a given brood size is shown in Table 3. For brood sizes two to thirteen, the proportion of one-male broods was, 40.6%, not significantly greater than that expected by a binomial distribution. Thus the sex ratio of *G. thailandensis* was randomly determined.

The daily female progeny produced per female parent (m_x) curve for *G. thailandensis* was initiated from the life table (Table 2). The fertility curve was bimodal. Female progeny production was highest during the first six days of adult life and afterwards declined. The progeny production rose again on day eight and nine (Fig.1).

Life table statistics

The generation time (T) and cohort generation time (T_c) of *G. thailandensis* were 25.5 and 25.7 days, respectively. The net reproductive rate (R_0) was 59.1 females per female per lifetime. The capacity for increase (r_c) was almost similar to the intrinsic rate of increase (r_m) (0.159 and 0.160 females per female per day, respectively). The finite rate of increase (λ) was 1.174 females per female per day. *G. thailandensis* had high potential to increase since the net reproductive rate and the finite rate of increase were greater than one, the capacity for increase and the intrinsic rate of increase were greater than zero³⁰.

DISCUSSION

Paralysis of the SFB larva by *G. thailandensis* was not permanent. The host regained mobility after the parasitoid has oviposited. Temporary paralysis has been reported for *G. japonica* Ashmead³¹, *G. marasmi* Kurian¹⁹, *G. rugosus*³², and *G. sensorius*^{15,16}. Permanent paralysis has been observed in other *Goniozus* species^{4,5,7,8}.

G. thailandensis resembled *G. aethiops*⁵, *G. marasmi*¹⁹, and *G. pakmanus*⁹ in depositing its eggs transversely in the intersegmental membrane of the host. Like all *Goniozus* species, *G. thailandensis* usually oviposited on the middle segments of the host's body. This preference was probably due to the host hemolymph fold⁸. Hosts oviposited by *G. thailandensis* readily pupated if the parasitoid eggs were destroyed. This phenomenon has been reported for *G. aethiops*⁵, *G. gallicola*⁴, and *G. legneri*⁸.

Immature mortality of *G. thailandensis* was moderate, 22%, compared with that of *G. triangulifer* (67%)¹⁴ and of *G. emigratus* (10%)⁷. The immature survival of *Goniozus* could be improved by providing host concealment and decreasing humidity of rearing conditions^{5,9}. The development time of *G. thailandensis* was similar to that of other *Goniozus* species. The incubation period of *G. indicus* was three days, larval development required 4-8 days and pupal duration took 11-12 days¹¹. In *G. platynotae* the incubation period was three days. The larval period lasted 5-6 days and the pupal stage took 12-14 days¹². The incubation period of *G. columbianus* was 3.1 days and the larval feeding period was 4.7 days. The pupal period lasted 13.7 days. The total development time took 22.1 days³. The relatively shorter development time especially incubation period has been reported in *Goniozus* sp. attacking the rice leaf folder²¹, *G. legneri*⁸, *G. gallicola*⁴, and *G. sensorius*¹⁵.

Longevity of *Goniozus* species varies considerably. For example Gifford¹¹ found that *G. indicus* females lived for 5.1 days. The longevity of *G. aethiops* averaged 13.4 days⁵. *G. emigratus* lived for 52.6 days when provided with hosts and 37.1 days when deprived of hosts⁷. Gordh *et al*⁸ reported that *G. legneri* lived substantially longer than other *Goniozus* species. Mated females provided pink boll worm (PBW) hosts lived 95.8 days and those provided navel orange worm (NOW) hosts lived 97.4 days which were significantly different from the host deprived group. The longevity of *G. pakmanus* females provided with hosts (37 days) was greater than those deprived of hosts (8 days)⁹. By contrast *G. gallicola* females lived for 62.4 days when provided with hosts and 71.0 days when deprived of hosts. The difference was probably due to host attack while subduing hosts⁴. In *G. thailandensis* mated host-provided females lived as long as mated host-deprived females. Similar findings have been reported of *G. sensorius*¹⁵ and *G. triangulifer*¹⁴. The influence of host feeding and type of food on *Goniozus* longevity have been reported by Gordh and Hawkins⁷, and Peter and David¹⁵.

Longevity of *Goniozus* species was different between sexes. In *G. thailandensis* mated host-provided females (7.7 days) lived significantly longer than mated males (5.7 days). These data were consistent with the findings for *G. triangulifer*¹⁴, *G. pakmanus*⁹, *G. legneri*⁸, and *G. emigratus*⁷.

A preoviposition period is often observed in *Goniozus* species, but its duration is greatly variable. *G. japonica* has a preoviposition period of 7 days³³, and *Goniozus* sp. about 8-9 days³⁴.

The preoviposition period of *G. nephantidis* was 4-5 days³⁵ and *G. emigratus* 3-5 days⁷. *G. legneri* has a preoviposition period of 3.1 days on NOW and 4.1 days on PBW⁸. The preoviposition period of *G. thailandensis* averaged 4.4 days which was similar to most other species of *Goniozus*. The shorter preoviposition periods have been reported for *G. gallicola* (2-3 days)⁴, *G. sensorius* (1-2 days)¹⁵, and *Goniozus* sp. (1 day)²¹.

There was considerable variation in the total number of eggs laid and hosts attacked by different *Goniozus* species. *G. platynotae* parasitized 6.4 hosts and produced a mean of 24.2 eggs per female per lifetime. The mean number of eggs per host was 3.4¹². *G. aethiops* parasitized four hosts and laid 16.2 eggs⁵. Gordh and Hawkins⁷ reported that *G. emigratus* parasitized about nine hosts and laid 118.8 eggs when hosts were provided continually. *G. emigratus* attacked more hosts (15.6) and deposited more eggs (232.9) when hosts were provided with one day of host deprivation between oviposition episodes. On NOW *G. legneri* parasitized 23 hosts and produced about 149 female progeny per parental lifetime. On PBW *G. legneri* attacked 25 hosts and produced about 170 female progeny during female lifetime⁸. *G. pakmanus* attacked 12 hosts and laid about 74 eggs⁹. *G. sensorius* parasitized about seven hosts and laid on an average 50.1 eggs¹⁵. The number of hosts parasitized by *G. thailandensis* throughout female lifetime was relatively low, averaging 1.6 hosts. The number of eggs laid were not recorded. However, the numbers of female progeny produced per parental female and per parasitized host during the female lifetime averaged 7.6 and 4.9 females, respectively.

Gordh and Hawkins⁷ reported that the sex ratio at adult emergence in all *Goniozus* species was strongly female biased. Several *Goniozus* species have precise sex ratios and regulate the number of males produced in brood size. Generally, small broods were female biased since only one male was required to inseminate cohort females. The number of males increased as brood sizes increased to ensure enough sperm to inseminate all females of the brood⁸. Green *et al.*²⁴ found that the number of single-male broods in *G. gordhi* differed significantly from that predicted by a binomial distribution. Brood sizes from two to nine were predominantly single-male broods; for larger broods more males emerged. Non-random sex ratio has also been reported for *G. gallicola*⁴, *G. aethiops*⁵, *G. emigratus*⁷, *G. legner*⁸, and *G. pakmanus*⁹. *G. thailandensis* was arrhenotokous. The sex ratio was also in favor of females. For brood sizes two to thirteen, the proportion of one-male broods was, 40.6%, not significantly greater than that of binomial prediction. Similarly, random sex ratio has been reported for *G. triangulifer*¹⁴.

Net reproductive rate (R_0) of *G. thailandensis* ($R_0 = 59.1$ females per female per lifetime) was substantially less than that for *G. emigratus* ($R_0 = 128.038$ females per female per lifetime)⁷ and for *G. legneri* on PBW and NOW ($R_0 = 160.2$ and 145.1 females per female per lifetime, respectively)⁸. The cohort generation time (T_c) of *G. thailandensis* (25.7 days) was shorter than that of *G. emigratus* (37.1 days)⁷ and of *G. legneri* on PBW and NOW (51.5 and 45.1 days, respectively)⁸. Similarly the generation time (T) of *G. thailandensis* ($T = 25.5$ days) was shorter than that of *G. emigratus* ($T = 27.3$ days)⁷ and of *G. legneri* ($T = 30.7$ days)⁸.

In *G. thailandensis* the capacity for increase (r_c), 0.159 females per female per day, was almost similar to the intrinsic rate of increase (r_m), 0.160 females per female per day. By contrast substantial differences between r_c and r_m have been reported for *G. legneri* on

PBW and NOW⁸ and *G. emigratus*⁷. The differences were probably due to the large R_o values and possibly to large variances in the l_{mx} distributions⁸. The r_m value of *G. thailandensis* ($r_m = 0.160$ females per female per lifetime) was less than that of *G. emigratus* ($r_m = 0.178$ females per female per day)⁷ and *G. legneri* on NOW ($r_m = 0.162$ females per female per day)⁸. This effect was due to the lower net reproductive rate for *G. thailandensis* ($R_o = 59.1$ females per female per lifetime) versus *G. emigratus* ($R_o = 128.038$ females per female per life time)⁷ and *G. legneri* on NOW ($R_o = 145.1$ females per female per lifetime)⁸. In contrast, the value of r_m for *G. thailandensis* was slightly greater than that obtained for *G. legneri* on PBW ($r_m = 0.157$ females per female per lifetime) even though the net reproductive rate for *G. thailandensis* ($R_o = 59.1$ females per female per lifetime) was considerably less than that for *G. legneri* ($R_o = 160.2$ females per female per lifetime)⁸. This effect was probably due to the shorter generation time for *G. thailandensis* ($T = 25.5$ days) than for *G. legneri* on PBW ($t = 32.3$ days*)⁸.

CONCLUSION

The generation time of phycitine SFB host was about 34 days¹ and that of *G. thailandensis* was about 26 days. With the host larval period of 18 days, *G. thailandensis* will only have one generation per synchronous host generation because the parasitoid only parasitizes the larval stage of the host.

Despite the low percentage of parasitization (13.3%) *G. thailandensis* might be a promising candidate for the biological control of the SFB on sapodilla because of its fossorial habit. This habit is a desirable attribute for the biological control of the SFB since the host larvae infest sapodilla fruit and a parasitoid which locates concealed host larvae is important in developing a biological control program for the larval stage of this pest. In addition *G. thailandensis* may act in concert with other parasitoids and predators to control the SFB and other lepidopterous pests that live in cryptic conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ms. T. Vickayarnon for statistical advice, Mr. S. Watanasit for his computer terminal. We also thank Dr. L. Lebel for his comment on an earlier version of the manuscript. This research was financially supported by the Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University.

* calculated from Gordh *et al.*⁸

REFERENCES

1. Witethom, B. and Silawatchananai, P. (1990). Biology and life table of *Mussidia pectinicornella* Hampson (Pyralidae: Phycitinae), on unripe sapodilla fruit. *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.* **12**, 361-367.
2. Gordh, G. and Witethom, B. A new species of *Goniozus* from Thailand (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae). *Proc. Hawaii. Entomol. Soc.* (in press).
3. Cushman, R.A. and Gordh, G. (1976). Biological investigations of *Goniozus columbianus* Ashmead, a parasite of the grape berry moth, *Paralobesia viteana* (Clemens) (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae). *Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash.* **78**, 451-457.
4. Gordh, G. (1976). *Goniozus gallicola* Fouts, a parasite of moth larvae, with notes on other bethylids (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae; Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). USDA Agricultural Research Service. Techn. Bull. No. 1524, 27 pp.
5. Gordh, G. and Evans, H.E. (1976). A new species of *Goniozus* imported into California from Ethiopia for the biological control of pink bollworm and some notes on the taxonomic status of *Parasierola* and *Goniozus* (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae). *Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash.* **78**, 479-489.
6. Mertins, J.W. (1980). Life history and behavior of *Laelius pedatus*, a gregarious bethylid ectoparasitoid of *Anthrenus verbasci*. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **73**, 686-693.
7. Gordh, G. and Hawkins, B. (1981). *Goniozus emigratus* (Rohwer), a primary external parasite of *Paramyelois transitella* (Walker), and comments on bethylids attacking Lepidoptera (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae; Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *J. Kansas Entomol. Soc.* **54**, 787-803.
8. Gordh, G., Wooley, J.B., and Medved, R.A. (1983). Biological studies on *Goniozus legneri* Gordh (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae) a primary external parasite of the navel orange worm *Amyelois transitella* and pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae, Gelechiidae). *Contrib. Amer. Ent. Inst.* **20**, 433-468.
9. Gordh, G. and Medved, R.E. (1986). Biological notes on *Goniozus pakmanus* Gordh (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae), a parasite of pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J. Kansas Entomol. Soc.* **59**, 723-734.
10. Gordh, G. and Moczar, L. (1991). A world catalog of the Bethyilidae (Hymenoptera: Aculeata). *Mem. Am. Entomol. Inst.* (Gainesville). **46**, 1-362.
11. Gifford, J.R. (1965). *Goniozus indicus* as a parasite of the sugarcane borer. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **58**, 799-800.
12. Goertzen, R. and Douth, R.L. (1975). The ovicidal propensity of *Goniozus*. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **68**, 869-870.
13. Butler, G.D.Jr. and Schmidt, K.M. (1985). *Goniozus legneri* (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae): Development, oviposition, and longevity in relation to temperature. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **78**, 373-375.
14. Legaspi, B.A.C. Jr., Shepard, B.M. and Almazan, L.P. (1987). Oviposition behavior and development of *Goniozus triangulifer* Kieffer (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae). *Environ. Entomol.* **16**, 1283-1286.
15. Peter, C. and David, B.V. (1991). Biology of *Goniozus sensorius* Gordh (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae) a parasitoid of the pumpkin caterpillar, *Diaphania indica* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Insect Sci. Applic.* **12**, 339-345.
16. Peter, C. and David, B.V. (1991). Observations on the oviposition behaviour of *Goniozus sensorius* (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae) a parasite of *Diaphania indica* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Entomophaga.* **36**, 403-407.
17. Legner, E.F., Gordh, G., Silveira-Guido, A, and Badgley, M.E. (1982). New wasp may help control navel orangeworm. *Calif. Agric.* **36**, 4-5.
18. Legner, E.F. and Silveira-Guido, A. (1983). Establishment of *Goniozus emigratus* and *Goniozus legneri* (Hym: Bethyilidae) on navel organeworm, *Amyelois transitella*; (Lep: Phycitidae) in California and biological control potential. *Entomophaga.* **28**, 97-106.
19. Venkatraman, T.V. and Chacko, M.J. (1961). Some factors influencing the efficiency of *Goniozus marasmi* Kurian, a parasite of the maize and jowar leaf roller. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **53**, 275-283.
20. Danthanarayana, W. (1980). Parasitism of the light brown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker), by its larval ectoparasite, *Goniozus jacintae* Farrugia (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae), in natural populations in Victoria. *Aust. J. Zool.* **28**, 685-92.

21. Roekwiree, S. (1981). Natural enemy complex of the rice ; leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in Thailand. M.S. Thesis, Kasetsart University.
22. Sananarong, S. and Ngamnissai, N. (1976). Thai Atlas. Aungsonjaroenthas. (in Thai)
23. Tumlinson, J.H., Lewis, W.J. and Vet, L.E.M. (1993). How parasitic wasps find their hosts. *Sci. Am.* **268**, 100-106.
24. Green, R.F., Gordh, G., and Hawkins, B.A. (1982). Precise sex ratios in highly inbred parasitic wasps. *Am. Nat.* **120**, 653-665.
25. Andrewartha, H.G. and Birch, L.C. (1954). The Distribution and Abundance of Animals. University of Chicago Press. Chicago, Illinois.
26. Birch, L.C. (1948). The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **17**, 15-26.
27. Anonymous (1986). Stat View 512+ : The Professional, Graphic, Statistics Utility. Brainpower, Inc., Calabasas, California.
28. Ryan, B.F., Brian, L.J. and Ryan, T.A. Jr. (1985). Minitab Handbook. 2nd edition. Duxbury Press, Boston.
29. Pearl, R. (1928). The Rate of Living. Knof, New York.
30. Ehrlich, P.R, Ehrlich, A.H., and Holdren, J.P. (1977). Ecoscience: Population, Resources, Environment. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.
31. Kishitani, Y. (1961). Observations on the egg-laying habit of *Goniozus japonicus* Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae). *Kontyo.* **29**, 175-179.
32. Samad, K. (1973). Systematics and biology of *Goniozus rugosus* new species (Bethyilidae: Hymenoptera) a larval parasite of microlepidoptera in Pakistan. *Agric. & Pakistan.* **24**, 195-200.
33. Iwata, K. (1949). Biology of *Goniozus japonicus* Ashmead, a parasite of the Persimmon leaf roller, *Dichocrocis chloraphanta*. *Tech. Bull. Kagawa Agric. Coll.* **1**, 58-60.
34. Gerling, D.J. (1979). *Parasierola* sp. (Hym.: Bethyilidae) a parasite of *Eldana saccharina* Walk. (Lep.: Pyralidae). *Entomol. Mon. Mag.* **113**, 211-212.
35. Remadevi, O.K., Mohamed, U.V.K., Abdurahaman, U.C. and Nerendran, T.C. (1978). Oviposition behavior of *Perisierola nephantidis* Muesebeck (Hymenoptera: Bethyilidae) a larval parasite of *Nephantis serinopa* Meyrick (Xylorictidae) *Entomon.* **3**, 303-305.

บทคัดย่อ

จากการศึกษาการเจริญของ *Goniozus thailandensis* Gordh & Witethom ซึ่งเป็นแตนเบียนภายนอกของ หนอนเจาะผลละมุดที่ 26+10°ซ, ความชื้นสัมพัทธ์ 70-80% และภายใต้ความสว่าง:ความมืด 12:12 พบว่าแตนเบียนเพศเมีย จะทำให้แมลงอาศัยเป็นอัมพาตชั่วคราวก่อนวางไข่ ไข่จะถูกวางตามขวางตรงรอยต่อระหว่างปล้อง โดยเฉพาะปล้องตรงกลาง ลำตัวทางด้านหลัง 96.1% ของไข่ฟักเป็นตัวหนอน และ 78.3% ของไข่เจริญเป็นตัวเต็มวัย ระยะไข่ ระยะตัวหนอนและระยะ ดักแด้ใช้เวลา 3.1, 4.1 และ 13.5 วัน ตามลำดับ การเจริญจากระยะไข่จนกระทั่งเป็นตัวเต็มวัยในทั้งสองเพศ ใช้เวลาไม่ต่างกัน คือ 20.7 วัน แตนเบียนเพศเมียที่ผสมพันธุ์แล้ว เมื่อให้แมลงอาศัย (7.2 วัน) และเมื่อไม่ให้แมลงอาศัย (7.7 วัน) มีชีวิตอยู่นานพอๆกัน แตนเบียนเพศเมียที่ผสมพันธุ์แล้วและให้แมลงอาศัย (7.7 วัน) มีชีวิตยืนยาวกว่าแตนเบียนเพศผู้ที่ผสมพันธุ์แล้ว (5.7 วัน) อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ กราฟแสดงการอยู่รอดของแตนเบียนเหมือนแบบที่ 1 ของกราฟแสดงการอยู่รอดตามทฤษฎี *G. thailandensis* จัดเป็น arrhenotokous มีระยะก่อนวางไข่นาน 4.4 วัน โดยเฉลี่ยแล้วแตนเบียนเพศเมียแต่ละตัว parasitized แมลงอาศัย 1.6 ตัวและผลิตลูกเพศเมีย 7.6 ตัว ตลอดอายุของแตนเบียนเพศเมีย *G. thailandensis* จะผลิตลูกเพศเมียมากกว่าเพศผู้ และอัตราส่วนของเพศถูกกำหนดแบบสุ่ม กราฟแสดงจำนวนลูกเพศเมียที่ผลิตได้ในแต่ละวันมีลักษณะเป็นแบบ bimodal ชั่วโมง (T) และชั่วโมงโดยประมาณ (T_a) ใช้เวลา 25.5 และ 25.7 วันตามลำดับ อัตราการขยายพันธุ์สุทธิ (R₀) มีค่าเท่ากับ 59.1 ตัว ตลอดอายุของเพศเมีย 1 ตัว ความสามารถสูงสุดในการขยายพันธุ์ของเพศเมียแต่ละตัว (r_m), ความสามารถสูงสุดในการขยายพันธุ์ของเพศเมียแต่ละตัวโดยประมาณ (r_a) และอัตราการขยายพันธุ์ในช่วงเวลาใดเวลาหนึ่ง (λ) มีค่าเท่ากับ 0.160, 0.159 และ 1.174 ตามลำดับ คาดว่า ประชากรของ *G. thailandensis* จะมีขนาดเพิ่มขึ้น